

Since  $T_1^*$  is complete and recursively axiomatizable, by a theorem of Janiczak [5] it is decidable.

The author thanks Yu. L. Ershov for his valuable advice in the completion of this paper.

#### LITERATURE CITED

1. A. Macintyre, "Model completeness for sheaves of structures," *Fund. Math.*, **81**, 73-89 (1973).
2. M. Ya. Antonovskii, V. G. Boltyanskii, and T. A. Sarymsakov, *Topological Boolean Algebras* [in Russian], Tashkent (1963), p. 132.
3. B. Z. Vulikh, *Introduction to the Theory of Semioordered Spaces* [in Russian], Fizmatgiz, Moscow (1961), p. 407.
4. S. S. Abhyankar, *Local Analytic Geometry*, Academic Press, New York-London (1964).
5. Yu. L. Ershov, *Decision Problems and Constructive Models* [in Russian], Nauka, Moscow (1980), p. 409.

#### MAPPINGS OF AN ORDERED LOBACHEVSKII SPACE

A. K. Guts

UDC 513.812

We consider  $n$ -dimensional Lobachevskii space  $L^n$ ,  $n \geq 2$  in which there is given an ordering, which is invariant with respect to some simple transitive subgroup  $T$  of the group of motions. We pose the problem of the complete description of isotonic homeomorphisms  $f: L^n \rightarrow L^n$  (i.e.,  $f$  and  $f^{-1}$  are monotonic). In Euclidean space the analogous problem is solved in A. D. Aleksandrov [1].

The results of the paper were announced in [2].

##### 1. Definitions and Notation

(1.1). Geometrically, the introduction of an order in  $L^n$  is the assignment to each point  $x \in L^n$  of a set  $P_x \subset L^n$  satisfying the conditions: 1)  $x \in P_x$ ; 2) if  $y \in P_x$ , then  $P_y \subset P_x$ ; 3) for  $x \neq y$  we have  $P_x \neq P_y$ . Then writing the relation  $y \in P_x$  as  $x \leq y$  we get a partial ordering in  $L^n$ .

The invariance of the order with respect to the group  $T$  is understood as follows: If  $t \in T$ , then  $t(P_x) = P_{t(x)}$  for any point  $x \in L^n$ .

In  $L^n$  we fix a point  $e$ , and if  $M$  is any set in  $L^n$  containing the point  $e$ , then  $M_x$  denotes the set obtained from  $M$  with the help of the motion  $t \in T$ , carrying  $e$  into the point  $x$ .

A bijective map  $f: L^n \rightarrow L^n$  of the ordered set  $L^n$  is said to be isotonic, if for any point we have  $f(P_x) = P_{f(x)}$ . It is easy to verify that a bijection  $f$  is isotonic if and only if  $f$  and  $f^{-1}$  are monotonic; i.e., if  $x \leq y$ , then  $f(x) \leq f(y)$  and  $f^{-1}(x) \leq f^{-1}(y)$ .

(1.2). Let  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  be rectangular Cartesian coordinates in the Euclidean space  $R^n$ . By the Poincaré model of Lobachevskii space we mean the half-space  $\{x \in R^n: x_1 > 0\}$ , in which the Lobachevskii metric is given by the following differential form:

$$ds^2 = k^2 \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n dx_i^2}{x_1^2}, \quad k = \text{const} \neq 0.$$

The group  $T$  consists of transformations  $t$  of the form

$$(x_1, \dots, x_n) \rightarrow (\lambda x_1, \lambda x_2 + \alpha_1, \dots, \lambda x_n + \alpha_{n-1}),$$

where  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}$  are real numbers, and is a solvable, noncommutative Lie group.

---

Omsk. Translated from *Sibirskii Matematicheskii Zhurnal*, Vol. 27, No. 3, pp. 51-67, May-June, 1986. Original article submitted March 21, 1984.

Let  $\ell$  be a line, passing through the point  $e$ . We denote by  $\Lambda$  the set of all lines parallel to the line  $\ell$  (in some given direction). Let  $\pi$  be an arbitrary two-dimensional plane, passing through the line  $\ell$ . By the symbol  $\Psi_\pi$  we denote the set of all equidistant curves lying in  $\pi$  and corresponding to the line  $\ell$ . We also introduce the set  $\Phi_\pi$  of all horocycle lying on  $\pi$  and orthogonal to  $\ell$ ; in addition, if  $h \in \Phi_\pi$  is a horocycle, then  $h$ , considered as the limit of circles, is characterized by the fact that the centers of the circles cited are taken on the ray  $\ell^+ \subset \ell$  which, starting from some point, goes in the direction in which the family of lines  $\Lambda$  is parallel.

We assume further that  $\Lambda$  is represented in the Poincaré model by the coordinate lines  $x_1$ . Then to the elements of the sets  $\Phi_\pi$  and  $\Psi_\pi$  correspond Euclidean lines (more precisely, intersections of Euclidean lines with  $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n: x_1 > 0\}$ ).

We denote by  $\Sigma$  the set of all elements gotten from elements of the set  $\Sigma_\pi^1 = \Lambda \cup \Psi_\pi \cup \Phi_\pi$  taken for any plane  $\pi$ ,  $\ell \subset \pi$  with the help of the group  $T$ . I.e., if  $\alpha \in \Sigma$  then there exist  $t \in T$  and an element  $\alpha' \in \Sigma_\pi^1$  such that  $\alpha = t(\alpha')$ . One can write symbolically

$$\Sigma = T \left( \bigcup_{\pi, \ell \subset \pi} \Sigma_\pi^1 \right).$$

We shall call the elements of the set  $\Sigma$  quasilines (for short, q-lines). In the usual way, from quasilines one can get q-rays, m-dimensional q-planes, etc. In the Poincaré model the intersection of any Euclidean line with the half-space  $\{x_1 > 0\}$  is some q-line.

By a q-cone  $C$  with vertex at the point  $e$  we mean a set which, together with each point  $x$ , contains the whole q-ray starting at  $e$  and passing through  $x$ .

A set  $A \subset L^n$  is called q-convex, if along with any two points  $x$  and  $y$  of it, it contains the whole q-segment with ends  $x$  and  $y$ .

By  $\ell(x, y)$ ,  $x \neq y$  we denote the q-line passing through the points  $x$  and  $y$ , and by  $\ell^+(x, y)$ , the q-ray starting from the point  $x$  and passing through the point  $y$ . We denote the quasisegment with ends  $x$  and  $y$  by  $[x, y]$ .

(1.3). We call sets  $A, B \subset L^n$  T-parallel, if there exists a motion  $t \in T$  such that  $t(A) = B$ . We say that the family of T-parallel sets  $\{M_x: x \in L^n\}$  is preserved under the map  $f: L^n \rightarrow L^n$  if  $f(M_x) = M_{f(x)}$  for any  $x \in L^n$ .

(1.4). We denote by  $|A|$  the object corresponding to the object  $A \subset L^n$  under its representation in the Poincaré model. Thus,  $|L^n| = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n: x_1 > 0\}$ . We set  $H = \{x_1 = 0\}$ .

(1.5). If  $A \subset L^n$  then by  $\text{int } A$ ,  $\bar{A}$ ,  $\partial A$  we denote respectively the interior, closure, and boundary of the set  $A$ .

(1.6). Definition of q-cone  $L \times K$ . Let  $L$  be a q-ray issuing from  $e$ ,  $K$  be a q-cone with vertex  $e$ , where  $L$  and  $K$  do not lie in one q-plane and  $L \cap K = \{e\}$ . We extent  $|L|$ ,  $|K|$  in the natural way in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to a (Euclidean) ray  $\bar{L}$  and cone  $\bar{K}$ , respectively. Then by  $L \times K$  we shall mean the set such that  $|L \times K| = |L^n| \cap (\bar{L} \times \bar{K})$ . The set  $L \times K$  is a q-cone with vertex  $e$ , and it can be defined without resorting to the Poincaré model.

In fact,

$$L \times K = \bigcup_{x \in A} l^+(e, x),$$

where  $A = \bigcup_{x \in K} L_x$ .

(1.7). We shall call a map quasiaffine, if it maps any q-line to a q-line.

Obviously the group  $T$  consists of quasiaffine motions.

## 2. Quasiconical Orders

(2.1). It is easy to see that a q-convex quasicone  $C$  defines an invariant order in  $L^n$ , i.e., the family of sets  $\{C_x: x \in L^n\}$  generated by it satisfies conditions 1-3 of (1.1) in Sec. 1. We consider three cases:

- 1)  $\bar{C} \neq L \times K$ ;
- 2)  $C = L \times K$ ;
- 3)  $C \neq L \times K$ , but  $\bar{C} = L \times K$ .

These three cases exhaust all possibilities which arise in the study of quasiconical orders. The corresponding isotopic homeomorphic maps  $f$  are studied in Secs. 3-5. It follows from these sections that in the typical (first) case the map will be quasiaffine. The other two cases are exceptional and the corresponding maps can be rather arbitrary, but nevertheless well described.

(2.2). Isotopic maps  $f$  of general orders, i.e., ones which are not quasiconical, will, under specific conditions, preserve certain orders, defined by a  $q$ -cone, and consequently in the "typical" case will be quasiaffine [2]. How to do this is shown below in Sec. 6.

(2.3). We note an important fact, frequently used in the course of the proof of theorems. If  $\{M_x: x \in E\}$  is some family of sets, where  $M \subset E$  is either a  $q$ -cone or a union of  $q$ -lines, then to study the maps  $f: E \rightarrow E$  preserving the given family, it makes no difference whether  $E$  is the Lobachevskii space  $L^m$ ,  $m \geq 1$  or a quasiplane which is not a horosphere.

In fact, it is easy to see this by passing to the Poincaré model.

### 3. Map $f$ in the Case of a Quasicone $\bar{C} \neq L \times K$

We assume that  $\text{int } C \neq \emptyset$ .

(3.1). THEOREM 1. If an order in  $L^n$ ,  $n \geq 2$  is given by a  $q$ -cone  $C$ , such that  $\partial C$  does not contain a  $q$ -line and  $\bar{C} \neq L \times K$  where  $L$  is a  $q$ -ray and  $K$  is a  $q$ -cone of lower dimension, then any homeomorphic isotonic map  $f$  is quasiaffine.\*

We preface the proof of the theorem with the following lemma.

LEMMA 1. Let  $\sigma$  be an open half-plane, lying in the affine plane  $\tau$  and  $\{\lambda_x^i: x \in \tau\}$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3$ ) be three different families of parallel lines in  $\tau$ . If  $f: \sigma \rightarrow \sigma$  is a homeomorphism such that  $f(L_x^1) = L_{f(x)}^1$ , where  $L_x^i = \sigma \cap \lambda_x^i$ ,  $x \in \sigma$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , then  $f$  is affine.

Proof. For a 2-plane  $\sigma$  this result is proved in [1, p. 12]. Let  $\sigma$  be a half-plane. We denote by  $H$  the boundary of  $\sigma$ . We extend  $f$  to  $H$ . Let us assume that  $L_x^1, L_x^2$  are half-lines. We extend  $L_x^1, L_x^2$  to  $H$  in the natural way. Let  $\{z\} = L_x^1 \cap L_y^2$  and  $z \in H$ . Then  $L_{f(x)}^1 \cap L_{f(y)}^2 \cap H \neq \emptyset$ . In fact, let us assume that  $L_{f(x)}^1 \cap L_{f(y)}^2 \cap H = \emptyset$ . The half-lines  $L_{f(x)}^1$  and  $L_{f(y)}^2$  bound a domain  $U$  such that one can find a point  $a \in U$ , for which  $L_a^1, L_a^2 \subset U$ ,

$$(L_a^1 \cup L_a^2) \cap L_{f(x)}^1 = \emptyset, (L_a^1 \cup L_a^2) \cap L_{f(y)}^2 = \emptyset. \quad (1)$$

Passing to preimages, we note that

$$[f^{-1}(L_a^1) \cup f^{-1}(L_a^2)] \cap [L_x^1 \cup L_y^2] \neq \emptyset,$$

which contradicts (1). Thus,  $L_{f(x)}^1 \cap L_{f(y)}^2 = \{z'\}$  and  $z' \in H$ . Then by definition let  $f(z) = z'$ . Thus we get a continuous and bijective extension of  $f$  to  $H$ . Let  $L_a^1, L_a^2$ , where  $|a| = (0, \dots, 0)$ , be taken on the coordinate axes  $\xi, \eta$ , and the rays  $f(L_a^1), f(L_a^2)$  on the coordinate axes  $\xi', \eta'$  in the image. On  $L_a^3$  we take a point  $b$ . Through it we draw lines  $\lambda_b^1, \lambda_b^2$ . Through the points of intersection  $c_1, c_2$  of these lines with  $\lambda_a^1, \lambda_a^2$  we draw lines  $\lambda_{c_1}^3, \lambda_{c_2}^3$ , etc. We shall have on  $\sigma$  an integral lattice  $\{(n\alpha, m\beta): n, m \text{ integers}\}$ . Since the map  $f$  carries parallel lines into parallel ones, the construction is preserved. Consequently,  $f(\{(n\alpha, m\beta)\})$  is the integral lattice  $\{(n\alpha', m\beta'): n, m \text{ integral}\}$ . If  $f(\xi, \eta) = (f_1(\xi, \eta), f_2(\xi, \eta))$ , then  $f_1(\xi, \eta) = f_1(\xi)$ ,  $f_2(\xi, \eta) = f_2(\eta)$ ,  $f_1(n\alpha) = nf_1(\alpha) = n\alpha'$ ,  $f_2(m\beta) = mf_2(\beta) = m\beta'$ . This is true for any  $\alpha, \beta$ . Hence, taking  $\alpha, \beta \rightarrow 0$  and keeping in mind that  $f$  is continuous, we get:  $f$  is affine.

Remark. The lemma remains valid if we assume that  $f$  maps three families of lines (in general position) to three analogous families of lines. The proof of this fact differs inessentially from that given above.

Proof of Theorem 1. Since  $f$  is a homeomorphism, we shall assume that  $C = \bar{C}$ , i.e.,  $C$  is a closed quasicone.

(a) In our notation  $C^- = \{x \in L^n: x \leq e\}$ , where  $\leq$  is the order given by the  $q$ -cone  $C$ . If  $f$  is an isotonic bijection, then obviously  $f(C_x^-) = C_{f(x)}^-$ . We consider the doubly super-

\*In [2] we erroneously said "isometric". The latter is valid under additional conditions imposed on  $C$ .

ficial q-cone  $Q = \partial C \cup \partial C^-$ . Let  $\lambda$  be an arbitrary q-line passing through the point  $e$  and lying on  $Q$ . In the Poincaré model  $\lambda$  is represented by a Euclidean line which can have a point of intersection with the hyperplane  $H = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n: x_n = 0\}$ . Let  $x, y \in \lambda$  and  $x \neq y$ . We shall say that the q-ray  $\ell^+(x, y)$  is free, if its representation in the Poincaré model does not intersect the hyperplane  $H$ .

Let now  $y$  be a point on the boundary  $\partial Q_x$  such that the q-ray  $\ell^+(x, y)$  is free. Let

$$M_{xy} = \cup C_z^- \{z \in \ell^+(x, y)\}, \quad \text{if } \ell^+(x, y) \subset \partial C_x,$$

and

$$M_{xy} = \cup C_z \{z \in \ell^+(x, y)\}, \quad \text{if } \ell^+(x, y) \subset \partial C_x^-.$$

If  $\bar{M}_{xy}$  happens to be a q-half-space, then  $\tau = \partial M_{xy}$  is the q-tangent q-plane of the quasicone  $C_y^-$  at the point  $x$  and at the same time (by symmetry) q-tangent to the q-quasicone  $C_x$  at the point  $y$ . Conversely, if at  $y$  the quasicone  $C_x$  has q-tangent q-plane  $\tau$ , then  $\tau = \partial M_{xy}$  when the ray  $\ell^+(x, y)$  is free, and  $\tau = \partial M_{xu}$ , where  $u \in \ell(x, y) \setminus \ell^+(x, y)$ , when  $\ell^+(x, y)$  is not a free ray.

In general  $\bar{M}_{xy}$  is represented in the Poincaré model by a convex cone which is a dihedral angle containing the line passing through the points  $x$  and  $y$ . Let  $R_{xy}$  be a q-plane of highest dimension passing through  $x$  and lying in  $\bar{M}_{xy}$ .

If  $M_{uv}$  is defined, then as is easy to verify,  $\bar{M}_{uv} = \bar{M}_{xy}$  if and only if  $u, v \in R_{xy}$ . Consequently,  $R_{xy}$  is the set of all  $u$  for which there exist points  $v$  such that  $M_{uv} = \bar{M}_{xy}$ .

Consequently, the sets  $\bar{M}_{xy}$  and  $R_{xy}$  are defined only in terms of the order and topology. Hence, they are preserved under a continuous map  $f$  such that  $f(\bar{M}_{xy})$  and  $f(R_{xy})$  have the same meaning.

As we explained above, the quasicone  $C_x$  has a q-tangent q-plane  $\tau$  at the point  $y$ , if and only if there exists a point  $u$ , possibly equal to  $y$ , such that  $\tau = \partial M_{xu}$ . Hence  $R_{xu} = \partial M_{xu}$ . The homeomorphism  $f$  preserves this equality, which is the condition defining the q-tangent q-plane. Hence, to q-tangent q-planes of quasicones  $C_x$  correspond q-tangent q-planes of quasicones  $C_{f(x)}$  and conversely.

(b) q-Tangent T-parallel q-planes  $\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_2 = t(\tau_1)$ , where  $t \in T$ , are mapped to q-tangent T-parallel q-planes  $\tau'_1$  and  $\tau'_2$  respectively, for which there exists a  $t' \in T$  such that  $\tau'_2 = t'(\tau'_1)$  (in the Poincaré model, the q-planes  $\tau_1, \tau_2$  and  $\tau'_1, \tau'_2$  are pictured as pairs of parallel planes  $|\tau_1|, |\tau_2|$  and  $|\tau'_1|, |\tau'_2|$ ). The converse is also true.

First let us assume that  $\tau'_2 = t'(\tau'_1)$ . We show that  $\tau_2 = t(\tau_1)$ .

In fact, the q-planes  $\tau'_1, \tau'_2$  do not intersect. Hence their preimages  $\tau_1 = f^{-1}(\tau'_1), \tau_2 = f^{-1}(\tau'_2)$  do not intersect. If  $\tau_1$  is pictured in the model plane by a parallel of the hyperplane  $H$ , then obviously so is  $\tau_2$ , i.e.,  $\tau_2 = t(\tau_1)$  for some element  $t \in T$ . In general, if  $\tau_2 \neq t(\tau_1)$  then the q-planes  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  bound a q-convex closed domain  $U$  such that if  $x \in U$  then either  $C_x \subset U$  or  $C_x^- \subset U$ . For definiteness let the first inclusion hold. Since  $\tau_1 \cap \tau_2 = \emptyset$ , one can find points  $u \in \tau_1$  and  $v \in \tau_2$  such that  $C_u \cap \tau_2 = \emptyset$  and  $C_v \cap \tau_1 = \emptyset$ . Then  $C_{f(u)} \cap \tau'_2 = \emptyset$  and  $C_{f(v)} \cap \tau'_1 = \emptyset$ . But since  $\tau'_2 = t'(\tau'_1)$ , at least one of these equations is false. We have found a contradiction. Consequently,  $\tau'_2 = t'(\tau'_1)$  implies  $\tau_2 = t(\tau_1)$ .

Now let  $\tau_2 = t(\tau_1)$ . We set  $\tau'_1 = f(\tau_1), \tau'_2 = f(\tau_2)$ . To be definite, we assume that  $\tau_2$  is q-tangent to  $C_x$  and  $\tau_1$  to  $C_y$ . Then  $\tau_1$  is q-tangent to  $C_{f(y)}$  and there exists a q-plane  $t'(\tau'_1)$  q-tangent to  $C_{f(x)}$ . As was established above, to the quasiplane  $t'(\tau'_1)$  corresponds the q-plane  $\tau_2$ . But then  $f(\tau_2) = t'(\tau'_1) = \tau'_2$ .

Thus, the conditions  $\tau_2 = t(\tau_1), \tau'_2 = t'(\tau'_1)$  imply one another under the homeomorphism  $f$ .

(c) The q-tangent q-planes of the quasicone  $C$  bound it. Hence one can take  $n$  q-tangent q-planes  $\tau_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ) bounding the  $n$ -faces of the quasiangle  $V$ . Since under the map  $f$  the q-tangent q-planes go into q-tangents, and T-parallels into T-parallels, the edges of the quasiangles  $V_x$  too go into edges of the quasiangles  $V_{f(x)}$  which are compatible with the help of the group  $T$ . We take any edge  $L$  of the quasiangle  $V$ . The quasicone  $C$  has q-tangent q-planes which differ from  $\tau_i$  since otherwise  $C = V$ , i.e.,  $|C|$  would be a Cartesian product. All such q-tangent q-planes cannot pass through the edge  $L$ , because if they did, one would have  $C = L \times K$ . Hence there is a q-tangent q-plane  $\tau$  not passing through the

edge  $L$  and differing from the  $q$ -plane  $\tau_i$  opposite to it. Hence, besides  $L$  there is at least one more edge  $N$ , not contained in  $\tau$ . The quasiplane  $\sigma$  spanned by  $L$  and  $N$  intersects  $\tau$  in a  $q$ -line  $S = \sigma \cap \tau$ . Thus, we have on  $\sigma$  three families of  $q$ -lines,  $T$ -parallel respectively to  $L$ ,  $N$ , and  $S$ .

Under the map  $f$  the  $q$ -lines which are  $T$ -parallel to  $L$  and  $N$  go into  $T$ -parallels. Hence the  $q$ -planes  $\sigma_x$  go into two-dimensional  $q$ -planes  $\tilde{\sigma}_{f(x)}$ , the  $q$ -tangent  $q$ -planes  $\tau_x$  go into  $q$ -tangent  $q$ -planes  $\tilde{\tau}_{f(x)}$ , so that the  $q$ -lines  $S_x = \sigma_x \cap \tau_x$  go into the  $q$ -lines  $\tilde{S}_{f(x)} = \tilde{\sigma}_{f(x)} \cap \tilde{\tau}_{f(x)}$ .

If one now makes use of the Poincaré model, then  $|\sigma_x|$ ,  $|\tilde{\sigma}_x|$  are affine half-planes (or planes), and  $\{|L_x|, |N_x|, |S_x|\}$  are three families of parallel half-lines (lines), mapped onto the corresponding three families of parallel half-lines (lines)  $\{|\tilde{L}_{f(x)}|, |\tilde{N}_{f(x)}|, |\tilde{S}_{f(x)}|\}$ .

It is easy to see that if  $|\sigma|$  is a half-plane, then  $|f(\sigma)| = |\tilde{\sigma}|$  is also a half-plane. Applying Lemma 1, we see that  $|f|$  is affine on  $|\sigma|$  in the Poincaré model, and consequently, the original map  $f$  is quasilinear on the  $q$ -plane  $\sigma$ .

The map  $|f|$  maps lines lying in  $|\sigma|$  and parallel to  $H$  to lines parallel to  $H$ . In our arguments the edge  $L$  was chosen arbitrarily. In all there are  $n$  edges of the quasiangle  $V$ . Hence each of them lies on some two-dimensional  $q$ -plane on which  $f$  is quasilinear.

Among these  $q$ -planes one can take  $(n-1)$   $q$ -planes  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}$  such that the lines passing through the point  $e$ , lying in  $|\sigma_i|$  and parallel to the hyperplane  $H$ , are in general position. Let them correspond to the  $q$ -lines  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1}$ . By what was said above,  $|f|(|\lambda_{ix}|) = |\tilde{\lambda}_{if(x)}|$ , where  $|\tilde{\lambda}_i|$  are lines parallel to the hyperplane  $H$ . Without loss of generality we assume that the edge  $|L|$  is not parallel to  $H$ . We take the lines  $|L|, |\lambda_i|$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ ) as axes of an affine coordinate system in the half-space  $|L^n| = \{x_1 > 0\}$ . Since  $|f|$  is affine on these axes,  $|f|$  is affine in  $|L^n|$ . But then  $f$  is quasilinear in  $L^n$ . Theorem 1 is proved.

**THEOREM 1'.** The assertion of Theorem 1 remains valid if we assume that  $f(c)$  is a quasicone, and  $f(C_x)$  is gotten from  $f(C)$  with the help of the group  $T$  for any  $x \in L^n$ .

The proof of Theorem 1' does not differ from the proof of Theorem 1.

#### 4. The Case $C = L \times K$

In what follows we shall need

(4.4). **LEMMA 2.** If  $f: L^n \rightarrow L^n$  ( $n \geq 2$ ) is a homeomorphism, preserving the family of  $q$ -lines  $\{N_{ix}: x \in L^n\}$  ( $i=1, \dots, n$ ) (i.e.,  $f(N_{ix}) = N_{if(x)}$  for any  $x \in L^n$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ )), which is the identity on  $q$ -lines  $N_1, \dots, N_n$  passing through the point  $e$  and in general position, then  $f$  is the identity on  $L^n$ .

**Proof.** If among the  $q$ -lines  $N_1, \dots, N_n$  there exist  $(n-1)$  lines which are a horocycle, then the assertion of the lemma is obvious (cf. the end of the proof of Theorem 1). Hence we assume that there are not such  $q$ -lines. We denote by  $Q$  the  $q$ -hyperplane spanned by  $N_1, \dots, N_{n-1}$ . Further, in the natural way we complete  $|Q|$  and  $|N_n|$  to a hyperplane and a line in  $R^n$ , but we leave the notation unchanged, i.e.,  $|Q|$  and  $|N_n|$ . By hypothesis  $Q$  is not a hyperhorosphere, i.e.,  $|Q|$  is not parallel to  $H$ . If  $N_n$  is a horocycle, then we set  $U = |L^n|$ . Now we define the domain  $U$  when  $N_n$  is not a horocycle. Let  $|\sigma_1|$  be the hyperplane obtained by taking the union of all lines  $|N_{nx}|$  such that  $|N_{nx}| \cap H \cap |Q| \neq \emptyset$ , and  $|\sigma_2|$  coincides with some  $|Q_y|$  such that  $|Q_y| \cap H \cap |N_n| \neq \emptyset$ . We denote by  $U \subset |L^n|$  the closed domain bounded by the hyperplanes  $|\sigma_1|, |\sigma_2|$ .

The rest of the proof is by induction on the dimension  $n$ .

A.  $n = 2$ . Let  $x \in \text{int } U$ , so  $N_{ix} \cap N_2 \neq \emptyset$  and  $N_{2x} \cap N_1 \neq \emptyset$ ; hence since  $f$  is the identity on  $N_1, N_2$  it follows that  $f(x) = x$ , i.e.,  $f$  is the identity on  $\text{int } U$ , and hence also on  $U$ .

We take  $x \notin U$ . Then either  $N_{ix} \cap N_2 \neq \emptyset$ , or  $N_{2x} \cap N_1 \neq \emptyset$ . To be definite we take the first; the second case can be considered analogously. Since  $f$  is the identity on  $N_2$   $f(x)$  will lie on  $N_{1x}$  by virtue of the fact that  $f(N_{1x}) = N_{1x}$ . Let  $\{a\} = |N_{2x}| \cap H$  and  $b \in N_2$  be such that  $|N_{1b}| \cap H = \{a\}$ . There exists a sequence  $\{b_m\} \subset N_2$  for which  $b_m \xrightarrow{m \rightarrow \infty} b$  and

$N_{1b_m} \cap N_{2x} \neq \emptyset$  ( $m=1, 2, \dots$ ). Since  $f(b_m) = b_m$ ,  $f(b) = b$ , one has  $f(N_{1b_m}) = N_{1b_m}$ ,  $f(N_{1b}) = N_{1b}$ , so  $f(N_{2x}) \cap N_{1b_m} \neq \emptyset$ ,  $m=1, 2, \dots$ ,  $f(N_{2x}) \cap N_{1b} = \emptyset$ . It follows from this that  $f(N_{2x}) = N_{2x}$ , i.e.,  $\{x\} = N_{1x} \cap N_{2x}$  remains fixed under map  $f$ . Thus the lemma is proved if  $n = 2$ .

B. Let the lemma be valid for dimension  $k \leq n - 1$  and suppose we are in the case  $k = n$ . Then in the half-hyperplane  $|Q|$  there will be a family of preserved  $q$ -lines  $\{N_{ix}: x \in Q\}$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$ ), while  $f(Q) = Q$ . Since the consideration of  $L^{n-1}$  reduces (cf. point (2.3)) to the study of the half-space  $|L^{n-1}|$ , one has that  $|Q|$  does not differ in this from  $|L^{n-1}|$  and one can use the induction hypothesis, considering  $f$  to be the identity on  $Q$ . But then it is easy to conclude that  $|f|$  is the identity on  $U \subset |L^n|$ . Now let  $x \notin U$ . We take the  $q$ -plane  $S$  spanned by  $N_{1x}$ ,  $N_{nx}$ . Since  $S$  goes, under the map  $f$ , into the  $q$ -plane  $S'$  spanned by  $N_{1f(x)}$ ,  $N_{nf(x)}$  and  $S \cap Q \neq \emptyset$ , and  $f$  is the identity on  $Q$ , one has  $f(S) = S$ , i.e.,  $S' = S$ . On  $S$ ,  $f$  preserves the two families of  $q$ -lines  $\{N_{ix}: x \in S\}$ ,  $\{N_{nx}: x \in S\}$ . Since upon reducing consideration from  $S$  to  $|S|$ ,  $|S|$  in no way differs in the present situation from  $|L^2|$ , one can use the assertion of point A, i.e., assume it proved that  $|f|$  is the identity on  $|S|$  and hence  $f(x) = x$ , i.e.,  $f$  is the identity on  $L^n$ . The lemma is proved.

(4.2). Definition of displacement. Let  $L$  be a  $q$ -ray issuing from  $e$ , and  $E$  be a  $q$ -hyperplane containing the point  $e$ , and  $L \cap E = \{e\}$ . Let us assume that either  $N$  is a horocycle, where  $N$  is a  $q$ -line containing  $L$ , or  $E$  is a hyperhorosphere. Then we have

Definition 1. A displacement of the first kind  $d_{EL}$  is a homeomorphism of  $L^n$ ,  $n \geq 2$  to itself such that

- 1)  $d_{EL}$  is an (arbitrary) homeomorphism on  $N$ ;
- 2) for any point  $x \in L^n$  we have  $d_{EL}(L_x) = L_{d_{EL}(x)}$ ,  $d_{EL}(E_x) = E_{d_{EL}(x)}$ ;
- 3)  $d_{EL}|_E$  is a motion from  $T$  (i.e., under the condition  $d_{EL}(e) = e$  the displacement  $d_{EL}$  is the identity on  $E$ ).

It follows from the definition that  $d_{EL}$  maps any  $q$ -line,  $T$ -parallel to the  $q$ -plane  $E$ , to another such. Now let  $L_1, L_2$  be two different  $q$ -rays, issuing from the point  $e$ ,  $N_1, N_2$  be  $q$ -lines containing them respectively, which are not horocycle,  $E_1$  be a  $q$ -hyperplane passing through  $N_2$  and  $E_1 \cap L_1 = \{e\}$ . Then we have

Definition 2. A displacement of the second kind  $d_{E_1L_1L_2}$  is a homeomorphism of  $L^n$ ,  $n \geq 2$  to itself such that

- 1)  $d_{E_1L_1L_2}$  is an (arbitrary) homeomorphism on  $N_1$ ;
- 2) for any  $x \in L^n$  we have

$$d_{E_1L_1L_2}(L_{jx}) = L_{jd_{E_1L_1L_2}(x)} \quad (j = 1, 2), \quad d_{E_1L_1L_2}(E_{1x}) = E_{1d_{E_1L_1L_2}(x)}$$

- 3)  $d_{E_1L_1L_2}|_{U_1}$  is a motion from  $T$ , where

$$U_1 = \overline{E_1 \cap \bigcup_{x \in E_1^0} N_{1x}}, \quad E_1^0 = \partial \left( \bigcup_{N_{1x} \cap E_1^0 = \emptyset} E_{1x} \right)$$

(i.e., under the condition  $d_{E_1L_1L_2}(e) = e$  the motion  $d_{E_1L_1L_2}$  is the identity on  $U_1$  or  $d_{E_1L_1L_2}|_{U_2} = id_{U_1}$ ).

LEMMA 3. If  $d$  is a displacement of the second kind  $d_{E_1L_1L_2}$  such that  $d(e) = e$ , then

- 1)  $d(E_1) = E_1$ ,  $d(N_1) = N_1$ ,  $d(E_1^0) = E_1^0$ ,  $d(U_1) = U_1$ ,  $d(S_1) = S_1$ , where  $S_1 = \partial \left( \bigcup_{N_{1x} \cap E_1^0 = \emptyset} N_{1x} \right)$ ;

2)  $d$  preserves the families  $\{E_{1x}^0\}$ ,  $\{U_{1x}\}$ ,  $\{S_{1x}\}$  and the family of  $(n - 2)$ -dimensional  $q$ -planes  $\{\pi_{1x}\}$ , where  $\pi_{1x} = S_{1x} \cap E_{1x}$ ;

3)  $d$  preserves the family of  $q$ -lines  $\{N_x\}$  provided  $N_x \subset \pi_{1x}$ , i.e.,  $d(N_x) = N_{d(x)}$  for any point  $x \in L^n$ .

Proof. Assertions 1 and 2 are obvious. We prove 3. We take a point  $x \in L^n$  arbitrarily. If  $x \in U_1$ , since  $N_x \subset \pi_{1x} \subset U_1$  and  $d|_{U_1} = id_{U_1}$ , one has  $d(N_x) = N_x$ . If  $x \in E_1 \setminus U_1$ , then  $N_x = \pi_{1x} \cap \sigma_x$ , where  $\sigma_x$  is the two-dimensional  $q$ -plane spanned by  $L_{2x}$  and  $N_x$ . But  $\sigma_x \cap \pi_1$  is a  $q$ -line,  $T$ -parallel to  $N_x$  (and  $|\sigma_x \cap \pi_1|$  is parallel to  $H$ ), preserved under the displacement  $d$ , since it lies in  $U_1$ . Since  $d$  preserves the family  $\{L_{2z}: z \in L^n\}$  by Definition

2, it preserves the  $q$ -plane  $\sigma_x$ , or more precisely  $d(\sigma_x) = \sigma_x$ . But then  $d$  preserves  $N_x$ , because  $d(N_x) = d(\pi_{1x}) \cap d(\sigma_x) = \pi_{1d(x)} \cap \sigma_x$  is a  $q$ -line,  $T$ -parallel to the  $q$ -line  $N_x$ , i.e., the line  $N_{d(x)}$ .

Now let  $x \notin E_1$ . Then  $N_x = \Pi_{1x} \cap \tau_x$ , where  $\tau_x$  is the two-dimensional  $q$ -plane spanned by  $N_{1x}$  and  $N_x$ . Either  $N_{1x} \cap E_1 \neq \emptyset$ , or  $N_{1x} \cap E_1 = \emptyset$ . If  $N_{1x} \cap E_1 \neq \emptyset$ , then  $\tau_x \cap E_1$  is a  $q$ -line,  $T$ -parallel to  $N_x$ , lying in  $E_1$ , and consequently, preserved under the displacement  $d$ , as was proved above. But then it follows from the preservation of  $\tau_x \cap E_1$  and the preservation of the family  $\{N_{iz}: z \in \mathbb{I}^n\}$  under the displacement  $d$  that  $d(\tau_x)$  is the two-dimensional  $q$ -plane,  $T$ -parallel to  $\tau_x$ . But then  $d(N_x) = d(\pi_{1x} \cap \tau_x) = \pi_{1d(x)} \cap d(\tau_x)$  is a  $q$ -line,  $T$ -parallel to  $N_x$ , or  $d(N_x) = N_{d(x)}$ . Finally, if  $N_{1x} \cap E_1 = \emptyset$ , we have

$$N_x = \left( \bigcup_{y \in N_z} N_{2y} \right) \cap \pi_{1x},$$

where  $N_z$  is such that  $N_{iz} \cap E_1 \neq \emptyset$  (here one uses the fact that  $N_2$  is not a horocycle). Since as was just proved  $d(N_z) = N_{d(z)}$  and also the families  $\{N_{2y}: y \in L^n\}$  and  $\{\pi_{iy}: y \in L^n\}$  are preserved, we conclude directly that  $d(N_x) = N_{d(x)}$ . Lemma 3 is proved.

Remark. Assertions 2 and 3 of Lemma 3 remain valid without the condition  $d(e) = e$ .

(4.3). Let  $f: L^2 \rightarrow L^2$  be a homeomorphism such that  $f(N_{ix}) = N_{if(x)}$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ),  $f(e) = e$ , where  $N_1, N_2$  are two different  $q$ -lines, which are not horocycles and pass through the point  $e$ .

Each  $N_i$  is the union of two  $q$ -rays  $n_i$  and  $L_i = (N_i \setminus n_i) \cup \{e\}$  of which  $L_i$  is a free ray (cf. the beginning of the proof of Theorem 1). If  $x \in n_i$ , then  $f(x) \in n_i$ . In fact, to be definite let  $x \in n_1$ . If  $|N_{2x}| \cap |N_{1x}| \cap H$  is a point ( $|N_{2x}|, |N_{1x}|$  extend naturally to lines in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ ), then  $|N_{2f(x)}| \cap |N_{1f(x)}| \cap H$  is also a point, as is shown in Lemma 1. From this it follows that if  $f(x) \in L_1$ , then  $N_{1f(x)} \cap N_2 = \emptyset$ . The latter contradicts the fact that  $N_1 \cap N_2 \neq \emptyset$ . Hence  $f(x) \in n_1$ .

Knowing how  $f$  acts on  $n_1$ , it is easy to determine the action of  $f$  on  $n_2$ . In this sense the actions of  $f$  on  $N_1, N_2$  are dependent. In fact, if  $x \in n_1$ , then  $f: |N_{2x}| \cap H \rightarrow |N_{2f(x)}| \cap H$ . Hence if  $y \in n_2$  is such that  $|N_{1y}| \cap H = |N_{2x}| \cap H$ , then  $|N_{1f(y)}| \cap H = |N_{2f(x)}| \cap H$ . In other words, the latter equation determines the location of the point  $f(y)$  on  $n_2$  which depends on the point  $f(x)$ .

(4.4). THEOREM 2. Let  $C = L_1 \times L_2$ , where  $L_1, L_2$  are different  $q$ -rays, issuing from the point  $e$ , let the order in  $L^2$  be such that  $\partial C$  does not contain a  $q$ -line. Then any  $C$ -isotonic homeomorphism  $f$  can be represented in one of the two forms:

$$f = f_0 \circ d_{N_1 L_2 L_1} \circ d_{N_2 L_1 L_2} \quad (2)$$

or

$$f = f_0 \circ d_{N_2 L_1} \circ d_{N_1 L_2}, \quad (3)$$

where  $f_0$  is a quasilinear transformation. In addition, the displacements in (2) and (3) commute. In (2) the displacements of the second kind are not independent (cf. (4.3)), and the displacement in (3) is completely arbitrary.

Proof. Since  $f$  is a homeomorphism it has the property that the edges  $L_{1x}, L_{2x}$  of the  $q$ -cones  $C_x$  map to edges. Obviously there exists a  $q$ -affine bijection  $f_0: L^2 \rightarrow L^2$  such that  $f_0^{-1}(f(L_{ix})) = L_{if_0^{-1}(f(x))}$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ),  $(f_0^{-1} \circ f)(e) = e$ . Hence if  $g = f_0^{-1} \circ f$  then  $g(e) = e$ ,  $g(C_x) = C_g(x)$  and  $g(L_{ix}) = L_{ig(x)}$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) for any point  $x \in L^2$ . It remains to show that  $g$  can be represented as a composition of two displacements of the same kind.

A. First let us assume that  $N_1$  is a horocycle. We take a displacement  $d_1 = d_{N_2 L_1}$  such that

$$d_1|_{N_1} = g|_{N_1}, \quad d_1(e) = e.$$

Then  $h_1 = d_1^{-1} \circ g$  has the following property:

$$h_1|_{N_1} = \text{id}_{N_1}, \quad (4)$$

i.e., is the identity on  $N_1$ . Since  $d_1$  preserves the families of lines  $\{N_{ix}: x \in L^2\}$ ,  $\{N_{2x}: x \in L^2\}$ , one has that  $h_1$  will be a  $C$ -isotonic homeomorphism. Now let  $d_2 = d_{N_1 L_2}$  be a displacement such that

$$d_2|_{N_2} = h_1|_{N_2}, d_2(e) = e.$$

Then if  $h_2 = d_2^{-1} \circ h_1$  then

$$h_2|_{N_2} = \text{id}_{N_2}. \quad (5)$$

But  $d_2$  preserves the families  $\{N_{1X}\}$  and  $\{N_{2X}\}$  and, moreover, is the identity on  $N_1$ . From this it follows that  $h_2$  preserves the families  $\{N_{1X}\}$ ,  $\{N_{2X}\}$  and by (4),

$$h_2|_{N_1} = \text{id}_{N_1}. \quad (6)$$

Having (5) and (6) in mind, we can apply Lemma 2 to  $h_2$ . We get that  $h_2 = \text{id}_{L^2}$ , i.e.,  $g = d_1 \circ d_2$  or  $f = f_0 \circ d_1 \circ d_2$ . That  $d_1, d_2$  commute is obvious.

B. Now let the  $q$ -lines  $N_1, N_2$  not be horocycles. Then  $g$  has a representation in terms of displacements of the second kind.

The actions of the displacements of the first kind  $d_{N_1 L_2}, d_{N_2 L_1}$  on  $N_1, N_2$  respectively are completely independent, which cannot be said of  $g$  and the displacements of the second kind  $d_{N_1 L_2 L_1}, d_{N_2 L_1 L_2}$ . This is discussed in point (4.3). Let  $d_1 = d_{N_1 L_2 L_1}$  be a displacement such that

$$d_1|_{N_2} = g|_{N_2}, d_1(e) = e.$$

Then  $h_1 = d_1^{-1} \circ g$  has the following properties:

$$h_1|_{N_2} = \text{id}_{N_2} \quad (7)$$

and it preserves the families of lines  $\{N_{1X}\}, \{N_{2X}\}$ , i.e., is a  $C$ -isotonic homeomorphism. By virtue of the dependence of  $g$  and  $d_1$  on  $n_1, n_2$  mentioned above, we get that  $d_1|_{n_1} = g|_{n_1}$  or

$$h_1|_{n_1} = \text{id}_{n_1}. \quad (8)$$

Now let  $d_2 = d_{N_2 L_1 L_2}$  be such that

$$d_2|_{N_1} = h_1|_{N_1}, d_2(e) = e. \quad (9)$$

Then it follows from (8) and (9) that

$$d_2|_{n_1} = \text{id}_{n_1}, d_2|_{n_2} = \text{id}_{n_2}. \quad (10)$$

The latter is valid again by virtue of the dependence of the action of  $d_2$  on  $n_1$  and  $n_2$ . Since by Definition 2  $d_2$  is the identity on  $U_1 = N_2 \setminus n_2$ , it follows from (10) that

$$d_2|_{N_2} = \text{id}_{N_2}. \quad (11)$$

As a result, the homeomorphism  $h_2 = d_2^{-1} \circ h_1$  has the following properties: it preserves the families  $\{N_{1X}\}, \{N_{2X}\}$  and by (7), (9), and (11),

$$h_2|_{N_1} = \text{id}_{N_1}, h_2|_{N_2} = \text{id}_{N_2}.$$

But then by Lemma 2 we get that  $h_2$  is the identity on  $L^2$  so  $f = f_0 \circ d_1 \circ d_2$ . The commutation of  $d_1, d_2$  is obvious. Theorem 2 is proved.

(4.4). Suppose given in  $L^n$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , an order  $C = L_1 \times \dots \times L_n$ , where  $\text{int } C \neq \emptyset$ . Here  $L_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ) are  $q$ -rays issuing from the point  $e$ . As before, we denote by  $N_i$  the  $q$ -line containing the  $q$ -ray  $L_i$ , and by  $E_i$  the  $q$ -hyperplane spanned by  $N_1, \dots, N_{i-1}, N_{i+1}, \dots, N_n$ .

Lemma 4. Let  $f: L^n \rightarrow L^n$ ,  $n \geq 3$ , be a homeomorphism such that  $f(N_{iX}) = N_i f(X)$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ) and the  $q$ -lines  $N_1, \dots, N_n$  are in general position and are not horocycles. Then  $f$  is quasiaffine.

Proof. For  $n = 3$  we consider, on the  $q$ -plane  $E_1$ , the three families of lines  $\{N_{2X}\}, \{N_{3X}\}$ , and  $\{E_1 \cap S_{1X}\}$ . By hypothesis the map  $f$  is such that  $f(e) = e$ , and without loss of generality we can assume it has the property  $f(E_1) = E_1$  and preserves the cited families of  $q$ -lines. Then by Lemma 1,  $f$  is quasiaffine on  $E_1$  and hence on  $N_2$  and  $N_3$ . From the symmetry of the  $q$ -lines  $N_1, N_2, N_3$  in our investigation, we conclude that  $f$  is also  $q$ -affine on  $N_1$ . One can find a  $q$ -affine transformation  $f_0$  such that  $f_0|_{N_i} = f|_{N_i}$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3$ ).

Hence if  $g = f_0^{-1} \circ f$ , then  $g|_{N_i} = \text{id}_{N_i}$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3$ ). By Lemma 2, in this case  $g$  is the identity on  $L^3$ , i.e.,  $f = f_0$ . The case  $n = 3$  is proved.

In general it is easy to see that  $f$  is  $q$ -affine on each  $q$ -line  $N_i$ , because each such line can be included in the three-dimensional  $q$ -plane  $\sigma$  spanned by the three  $q$ -lines  $N_i, N_j, N_k$ . Since the study of  $|\sigma|$  does not differ (cf. (2.3)), in the direction of interest to us, from the study of  $|L^3|$  we see, just as above, that  $f$  is  $q$ -affine on  $\sigma$  and hence also on  $N_i$ . From here on we argue just as in the case  $n = 3$ . Lemma 4 is proved.

**THEOREM 3.** Let  $f: L^n \rightarrow L^n$  ( $n \geq 3$ ) be a  $C$ -isotonic homeomorphism, where  $C = L_1 \times \dots \times L_n$  and  $\partial C$  does not contain a  $q$ -line; then

1) if all  $N_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ) are not horocycles, then  $f$  is quasilinear;

2) if only  $N_1, N_2$  are not horocycles, then

$$f = f_0 \circ d_{E_1 L_1 L_2} \circ d_{E_2 L_2 L_1} \circ d_{E_3 L_3} \circ \dots \circ d_{E_n L_n}; \quad (12)$$

3) if  $N_1, \dots, N_k$  ( $k \geq 3$ ) are not horocycles, and  $N_{k+1}, \dots, N_n$  are horocycles, then

$$f = f_0 \circ d_{E_{k+1} L_{k+1}} \circ \dots \circ d_{E_n L_n}; \quad (13)$$

4) if only  $N_1$  is not a horocycle, then

$$f = f_0 \circ d_{E_1 L_1} \circ \dots \circ d_{E_n L_n}. \quad (14)$$

Here  $f_0$  is a quasilinear transformation, all the displacements in (12)-(14) commute. In addition, any displacements of the first kind are admissible, and for the displacements of the second kind one should consider point (4.3).

**Proof.** The map  $f$  maps each family  $\{N_{ix}\}$  to some family  $\{N_{jx}\}$ . In fact, each  $N_{ix}$  is the intersection of the  $q$ -planes  $E_{1x}, \dots, E_{i-1, x}, E_{i+1, x}, \dots, E_{nx}$ , which are  $q$ -tangent to  $C_x$ . Since  $f$  maps  $q$ -tangent  $q$ -planes to  $q$ -tangent  $q$ -planes (cf. the proof of Theorem 1), we conclude directly that  $f(N_{ix}) = N_{jx} f(x)$  for any point  $x \in L^n$ .

One can find a quasilinear bijection  $f_0: L^n \rightarrow L^n$  such that if  $g = f_0^{-1} \circ f$ , then

$$g(N_{ix}) = N_{ig(x)} \quad (i = 1, \dots, n), \quad g(e) = e.$$

**Case 1.** According to Lemma 4, the map  $g$  is quasilinear, so  $f$  is also.

**Case 2.** Let  $d_1 = d_{E_1 L_1 L_2}$  be a displacement having the property that

$$d_1|_{N_1} = g|_{N_1}.$$

Then  $h_1 = d_1^{-1} \circ g$  is the identity on  $N_1$ , and by the dependence of the action of  $g$  and  $d_1$  on  $N_1, N_2$  we get that

$$h_1|_{N_2} = \text{id}_{N_2}, \quad (15)$$

where we have used the notation of the proof of Theorem 2. In accord with Lemma 3 and Definition 2 the displacement  $d_1$  preserves the families of  $q$ -lines  $\{N_{ix}\}$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ). Hence  $h_1$  also preserves them, i.e.,  $h_1$  is a  $C$ -isotonic homeomorphism. Let  $d_2 = d_{E_2 L_2 L_1}$  be a displacement such that

$$d_2|_{N_2} = h_1|_{N_2}. \quad (16)$$

Then by Definition 2 and Lemma 3, the displacement  $d_2$  is also  $C$ -isotonic and preserves the families  $\{N_{ix}\}$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ) and in addition, by the dependence of the action of  $d_2$  on  $N_1, N_2$  we get from (15) and (16) that

$$d_2|_{N_1} = \text{id}_{N_1}.$$

Since  $d_2$  is the identity on  $U_2 \supset N_1 \setminus N_1$  one has that  $d_2$  is the identity on  $N_1$ . Hence if  $h_2 = d_2^{-1} \circ h_1$ , one has

$$h_2|_{N_2} = \text{id}_{N_2}, \quad h_2|_{N_1} = \text{id}_{N_1}.$$

Moreover,  $h_2$  preserves the families  $\{N_{ix}\}$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ). We take a displacement  $d_3 = d_{E_3 L_3}$  such that

$$d_3|_{N_3} = h_2|_{N_3}.$$

Then it follows from Definition 1 that if  $h_3 = d_3^{-1} \circ h_2$ , then  $h_3$  preserves the families  $\{N_{ix}\}$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ) and

$$h_3|_{N_j} = \text{id}_{N_j} \quad (j = 1, 2, 3).$$

Continuing this process, at the  $(n - 2)$ nd step we take a displacement  $d_n = d_{E_n L_n}$  such that

$$d_n|_{N_n} = h_{n-1}|_{N_n}.$$

And then for  $h_n = d_n^{-1} \circ h_{n-1}$  on the basis of Definition 1,

$$h_n|_{N_j} = \text{id}_{N_j} \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, n).$$

By Lemma 2 we get  $h_n = \text{id}_{L^n}$ , i.e., (12) holds for  $f$ .

Case 3. Let  $\sigma$  be the quasiplane spanned by  $N_1, \dots, N_k$ . Then  $g(\sigma) = \sigma$  since  $g$  is a homeomorphism, and in view of Lemma 4, the map  $g$  is quasilinear on  $\sigma$ . Now let  $f_1$  be a quasilinear map which coincides with  $g$  on  $\sigma$  and preserves the families  $\{N_{iX}\}$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ). Then  $h = f_1^{-1} \circ g$  is the identity on  $\sigma$  and preserves the families  $\{N_{iX}\}$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ). Let  $d_1 = d_{E_{k+1}L_{k+1}}$  be a displacement such that

$$d_1|_{N_{k+1}} = h|_{N_{k+1}}.$$

Then if  $h_1 = d_1^{-1} \circ h$  then  $h_1$  preserves the families  $\{N_{iX}\}$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ) and

$$h_1|_{N_j} = \text{id}_{N_j} \quad (j = 1, \dots, k+1)$$

by Definition 1. Then we take  $d_2 = d_{E_{k+2}L_{k+2}}$  so that

$$d_2|_{N_{k+2}} = h_1|_{N_{k+2}},$$

and we consider  $h_2 = d_2^{-1} \circ h_1$ . The map  $h_2$  preserves the families  $\{N_{iX}\}$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ) and

$$h_2|_{N_j} = \text{id}_{N_j} \quad (j = 1, \dots, k+2)$$

by Definition 1. Finally, at the  $(n-k)$ th step, we introduce the displacement  $d_{n-k} = d_{E_n L_n}$  such that

$$d_{n-k}|_{N_n} = h_{n-k-1}|_{N_n}.$$

Then if  $h_{n-k} = d_{n-k}^{-1} \circ h_{n-k-1}$ , then  $h_{n-k}$  preserves the families  $\{N_{iX}\}$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ) and

$$h_{n-k}|_{N_j} = \text{id}_{N_j} \quad (j = 1, \dots, n).$$

By Lemma 2,  $h_{n-k}$  is the identity on  $L^n$ , so (13) holds for  $f$ .

Case 4. We take  $d_1 = d_{E_1 L_1}$  such that

$$d_1|_{N_1} = g|_{N_1}.$$

Then if  $h_1 = d_1^{-1} \circ g$  then  $h_1$  is the identity on  $N_1$  and preserves the families  $\{N_{iX}\}$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ) by Definition 1. We take  $d_2 = d_{E_2 L_2}$  such that

$$d_2|_{N_2} = h_1|_{N_2}$$

etc. At the  $n$ -th step we take  $d_n = d_{E_n L_n}$  such that

$$d_n|_{N_n} = h_{n-1}|_{N_n}.$$

Then  $h_n = d_n^{-1} \circ h_{n-1}$  preserves the families  $\{N_{iX}\}$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ) and is the identity on each  $q$ -line  $N_1, \dots, N_n$ . By Lemma 2,  $h_n$  is the identity on  $L^n$ . Hence (14) holds for  $f$ . Theorem 3 is proved.

(4.5). Now we consider an order  $C = L_1 \times \dots \times L_k \times K$  in  $L^n$ ,  $n \geq 4$ , where  $\text{int } C \neq \emptyset$ . Here  $L_j$  are different  $q$ -rays, issuing from the point  $e$ , and  $K$  is an  $(n-k)$ -dimensional quasicone with vertex  $e$ . We denote by  $E_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ) the  $q$ -hyperplane spanned by  $L_1, \dots, L_{i-1}, L_{i+1}, \dots, L_n, K$ .

THEOREM 4. Let  $C = L_1 \times \dots \times L_k \times K$ , where  $K \neq L \times K_1$ ,  $L$  is a  $q$ -ray,  $K_1$  is a  $q$ -cone,  $\dim K \geq 3$  be an order in  $L^n$ ,  $n \geq 4$ , where  $\partial C$  does not contain a  $q$ -line, and let  $f: L^n \rightarrow L^n$  be a  $C$ -isotonic homeomorphism. If the  $q$ -cone  $K$  lies in a horosphere,

1) provided  $N_1$  is not a horocycle, one has

$$f = f_0 \circ d_{E_1 L_1} \circ \dots \circ d_{E_k L_k}; \quad (17)$$

2) provided  $N_1, N_2$  are not horocycles, one has

$$f = f_0 \circ d_{E_1 L_1 L_2} \circ d_{E_2 L_2 L_1} \circ d_{E_3 L_3} \circ \dots \circ d_{E_k L_k}; \quad (18)$$

3) if  $N_1, \dots, N_t$  ( $t \geq 3$ ) are not horocycles, and  $N_{t+1}, \dots, N_k$  are horocycles, then

$$f = f_0 \circ d_{E_{t+1} L_{t+1}} \circ \dots \circ d_{E_k L_k}; \quad (19)$$

4) if all  $N_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, k$ ,  $k \geq 3$ ) are not horocycles, then  $f$  is quasilinear.

If  $K$  does not lie in a horosphere,

5) if all  $N_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, k$ ) are horocycles, then

$$f = f_0 \circ d_{E_1 L_1} \circ \dots \circ d_{E_k L_k}; \quad (20)$$

6) if  $N_1, \dots, N_t$  ( $t \geq 1$ ) are not horocycles, and  $N_{t+1}, \dots, N_k$  are horocycles, then

$$f = f_0 \circ d_{E_{t+1} L_{t+1}} \circ \dots \circ d_{E_k L_k}; \quad (21)$$

7) if all  $N_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, k$ ) are not horocycles, then  $f$  is quasilinear. Everywhere here  $f_0$  is some quasilinear transformation and the displacements in (17)-(21) all commute. The displacements of the 1st kind are any admissible ones, and for the displacements of the 2nd kind one must consider the remark of point (4.3).

Proof. Since  $f$  is a homeomorphism, one can assume that  $C$  is closed. Each  $N_i$  containing  $L_i$  is the intersection of  $q$ -tangent  $q$ -planes to  $C$ . Under the map  $f$ , as we know,  $q$ -tangent  $q$ -planes go into  $q$ -tangent ones. Hence  $f(N_i)$  will be some  $N_j f(e)$ . The quasiplane

$E = \bigcap_{i=1}^k E_i$ , spanned by the  $q$ -cone  $K$ , is mapped into the  $q$ -plane  $E_{f(e)} = \bigcap_{i=1}^k E_{i f(e)}$ , since  $f(E_i) = E_j f(e)$  due to the fact that  $E_i$  are  $q$ -tangents to  $C$ . Without loss of generality we assume that  $f(e) = e$ . Then  $f(E) = E$  and  $f$  preserves the family of  $q$ -cones  $\{K_x: x \in E\}$ . Since  $K \neq L \times K_1$ ,  $f$  is quasilinear on  $E$  on the basis of Theorem 1, if  $E$  does not lie in a horosphere, and on the basis of Theorem 3 of [1], if  $E$  lies in a horosphere.

We take a  $q$ -affine bijection  $f_0$  such that  $f_0(e) = e$ ,  $f_0(L_i) = f(L_i)$  ( $i = 1, \dots, k$ ),  $f_0(K) = f(K)$  and  $f_0$  coincides with  $f$  on  $E$ . Then  $g = f_0^{-1} \circ f$  has the properties

$$g(C) = C, \quad g(L_i) = L_i \quad (i = 1, \dots, k), \quad g|_E = \text{id}_E.$$

A. Let us now assume that  $E$  is a horosphere.

Case 1. We take  $d_1 = d_{E_1 L_1}$  so that  $d_1$  coincides with  $g$  on  $N_1$ . Then  $h_1 = d_1^{-1} \circ g$  preserves the order  $C$  and is the identity on  $N_1, E$ . We take  $d_2 = d_{E_2 L_2}$  so that  $d_2$  coincides with  $h_1$  on  $N_2$ . Then  $h_2 = d_2^{-1} \circ h_1$  preserves the order  $C$  and is the identity on  $N_1, N_2, E$  etc. At the  $k$ -th step we shall have  $d_k = d_{E_k L_k}$  coinciding with  $h_{k-1}$  on  $N_k$  while

$$h_{k-1}|_{N_j} = \text{id}_{N_j} \quad (j = 1, \dots, k-1), \quad h_{k-1}|_E = \text{id}_E.$$

Consequently,  $h_k = d_k^{-1} \circ h_{k-1}$  will preserve  $\{N_x: x \in L^n\}$  ( $j = 1, \dots, k$ ),  $\{E_x: x \in L^n\}$  and

$$h_k|_{N_j} = \text{id}_{N_j} \quad (j = 1, \dots, k), \quad h_k|_E = \text{id}_E.$$

From this it is easy to conclude that  $h_k$  is the identity on  $L^n$ . For this it suffices to repeat the arguments given at the end of the proof of Theorem 1. Since  $h_k$  is the identity on  $L^n$  one has that  $f$  has the form (17).

The proof of cases 2-4 is essentially a repetition of the proofs of cases 1-4 of Theorem 3. Hence we omit them.

B. Let us now assume that  $K$  does not lie in a horosphere or that  $E$  is not a horosphere.

Case 5. Let  $d_1 = d_{E_1 L_1}$  be such that  $d_1$  coincides with  $g$  on  $N_1$  so  $h_1 = d_1^{-1} \circ g$  is the identity on  $N_1, E$ , and also as before is  $C$ -isotonic. For the rest we repeat the proof of Case 1. We proceed to Cases 6 and 7.

Let  $N_1$  not be a horocycle. We denote by  $\Sigma$  the quasiplane spanned by  $N_1, E$ . Obviously,  $g(\Sigma) = \Sigma$ . We denote the restriction of  $g$  to  $\Sigma$  by  $g_1$ . The map  $g_1$  preserves on  $\Sigma$  the family of  $q$ -cones  $\{Q_x: x \in \Sigma\}$ , where  $Q = L_1 \times K$ . Just as in Theorem 1, one proves that the  $q$ -tangent quasiplanes to  $Q_x$ ,  $x \in \Sigma$  are mapped by  $g_1$  to  $q$ -tangent planes. We show that there exists a family  $\{\lambda_x: x \in \Sigma\}$  of  $q$ -lines (where  $\lambda = \lambda_e \subset \partial K \cup \partial K^-, K^- = \{y \in E: y \leq_K e\}$ , and  $\leq_K$  is the order defined by the  $q$ -cone  $K$  in  $E$ ), preserved by the map  $g_1$ .

In fact, we take a  $q$ -ray  $\Lambda \subset \partial K$  issuing from  $e$ , such that the  $q$ -line  $\lambda$  containing it is not a horocycle and along  $\Lambda$  the  $q$ -cone  $Q$  has  $q$ -tangent  $q$ -plane  $\Sigma_1$  not lying in  $E$ . If  $\Sigma_1 \cap K = \Lambda$ , then  $\{\lambda_x: x \in \Sigma\}$  is the family sought. In fact, in this case for  $x \in \Sigma_1$  we have  $K_x \cap \Sigma_1 = \Lambda_x$ .

But  $g_1$  preserves  $\{K_x: x \in \Sigma\}$  and  $g_1(\Sigma_1) = \Sigma_1$  since  $g_1$  is the identity on  $E$  and  $g_1$  maps  $q$ -tangent quasiplanes to  $Q_y$  to  $q$ -tangents. Hence  $\Lambda$  and  $\Lambda_x$ ,  $x \in \Sigma_1$  remain  $T$ -parallel after

mapping, or more precisely,  $g_1(\lambda_x) = \lambda_{g_1(x)}$ , because  $g_1(\lambda) = \lambda$ . Now if  $x \notin \Sigma_1$ , then  $x \in \Sigma_{1z}$  for some  $z \in E$ . But then  $g_1(\Sigma_{1z}) = \Sigma_{1g_1(z)}$  since  $g_1(\Sigma_1) = \Sigma_1$  and T-parallel q-tangent quasiplanes are mapped to T-parallels, and consequently

$$g_1(\Lambda_x) = g_1(K_x \cap \Sigma_{1z}) = g_1(K_x) \cap g_1(\Sigma_{1z}) = K_{g_1(x)} \cap \Sigma_{1g_1(z)} = K_{g_1(x)} \cap \Sigma_{1z} = \Lambda_{g_1(x)},$$

because  $g_1(z) = z$ ,  $g_1(x) \in \Sigma_{1z}$ , i.e.,  $g_1(\lambda_x) = \lambda_{g_1(x)}$ .

Let us now assume that  $K \cap \Sigma_1 = K_1$  is a q-convex q-cone which does not reduce to a q-ray. In this case we consider on  $\Sigma_1$  the family of q-cones  $\{Q_{1x}: x \in \Sigma_1\}$ , where  $Q_1 = L_1 \times K_1$ . We denote the restriction of  $g_1$  to  $\Sigma_1$  by  $g_2$ . Then  $g_2(\Sigma_1) = \Sigma_1$ . Obviously  $K_1$  does not lie in a horosphere.

Consequently, the consideration of  $g_2: \Sigma_1 \rightarrow \Sigma_1$ , preserving  $\{Q_{1x}: x \in \Sigma_1\}$  with the object of picking out generators of the family preserved, does not differ from the same problem which we started to solve in relation to  $g_1: \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$  preserving  $\{Q_x: x \in \Sigma\}$ . In other words, the case " $K \cap \Sigma_1$  is not a q-ray" forced us to consider the same problem, but in a q-plane of lower dimension. Hence one can repeat the arguments already given above. As a result, we will introduce a quasiplane  $\Sigma_2$  q-tangent to  $Q_1$ , not lying in  $E$ , preserved by  $g_2$  and a q-ray  $\Lambda_1 \subset \partial K_1$  such that the q-line  $\lambda_1$  containing it is not a horocycle and  $\Sigma_2$  is q-tangent to  $K_1$  along  $\Lambda_1$ . If  $K_1 \cap \Sigma_2 = \Lambda_1$  then the family  $\{\lambda_{1x}: x \in \Sigma\}$  will be the family of q-lines sought, which is preserved under the map  $g_1$ . Here in passing from  $\{\lambda_{1x}: x \in \Sigma\}$  to  $\{\lambda_{ix}: x \in \Sigma\}$  one uses the fact that  $g_1$  is the identity on  $E$ , as is  $g_2$  on  $E \cap \Sigma_1$ . However if  $K_1 \cap \Sigma_2 = K_2$  is a q-convex q-cone which does not reduce to a q-ray, then one should again lower the dimension, i.e., consider  $g_3$ , the restriction of  $g_2$  to  $\Sigma_2$  which has the properties  $g_3(\Sigma_2) = \Sigma_2$ ,  $g_3(Q_{2x}) = Q_{2g_3(x)}$  for  $x \in \Sigma_2$ , where  $Q_2 = L_1 \times K_2$ . As a result, either the required family of preserved q-lines will be picked, or we arrive at  $g_{m+1}: \Sigma_m \rightarrow \Sigma_m$ ,  $\dim \Sigma_m = 3$ ,  $g_{m+1}$  preserves the families of q-cones  $\{Q_{mx}: x \in \Sigma_m\}$ , where  $Q_m = L_1 \times K_m$ ,  $\dim K_m = 2$ . In this case we take as the q-ray sought any generator of the q-cone  $\partial K_m$  (cf. the beginning of the proof of Theorem 2).

Thus, there exists a family of q-lines  $\{\lambda_x: x \in \Sigma\}$ , preserved by the map  $g_1: \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$  where  $\lambda$  is not a horocycle. By now it is obvious, since  $K \neq L \times \tilde{K}$ , that one can choose two such families:  $\{\lambda_x: x \in \Sigma\}$ ,  $\{\tilde{\lambda}_x: x \in \Sigma\}$ ,  $e \in \lambda \cap \tilde{\lambda}$ ,  $\lambda \cap \tilde{\lambda} = \{e\}$ ;  $\lambda, \tilde{\lambda}$  not horocycles,  $\lambda, \tilde{\lambda} \subset \partial K \cup \partial K^-$  and  $g_1(\lambda_x) = \lambda_{g_1(x)}$ ,  $g_1(\tilde{\lambda}_x) = \tilde{\lambda}_{g_1(x)}$  for  $x \in \Sigma$ .

On  $N_1$ ,  $\lambda, \tilde{\lambda}$  let us span quasiplane  $\sigma$ . Obviously  $g_1(\sigma) = \sigma$ . Then by Lemma 4,  $g_1$  will be quasiaffine on  $\sigma$ . But since  $g_1$  is the identity on  $\lambda, \tilde{\lambda}$  by virtue of the dependence of the action of  $g_1$  on  $\lambda, \tilde{\lambda}$ ,  $N_1$  the map  $g_1$  will be the identity on  $N_1$ . From this, thanks to the fact that  $g_1$  is affine on  $N_1$ , we get that  $g_1$  is the identity on  $N_1$  or  $g$  is the identity on  $N_1$ .

The inference is as follows:  $g$  is the identity on each q-line  $N_j$  which is not a horocycle. Hence one should introduce the q-plane  $\tilde{E}$  spanned on  $E$  and q-lines  $N_1, \dots, N_t$  ( $t \geq 1$ ) which are not horocycles. Then  $g$  is the identity on  $\tilde{E}$ , and it remains to construct displacements  $d_{E_j L}$  ( $j > t$ ) along the remaining q-lines  $N_{t+1}, \dots, N_k$ . In Case 7 one does not have to do this, and in Case 6 one repeats the standard arguments (cf. Case 1). Theorem 4 is proved.

## 5. The Case $C \neq L \times K$ , $\bar{C} = L \times K$

We assume that  $\partial C$  does not contain a q-line and  $\text{int} C \neq \emptyset$ .

(5.1). On the Lobachevskii plane we consider an order defined by a q-cone  $C$  such that  $\bar{C} = L_1 \times L_2$ . Then  $C$  is gotten from  $\bar{C}$  by subtracting one or two edges at once. In this case, as is easy to see, any C-isotonic homeomorphism can be calculated from (2), (3).

(5.2). Let  $C$  be a quasicone, defining an order in the Lobachevskii space  $L^3$ , and  $\bar{C} = L_1 \times L_2 \times L_3$ . Then  $C$  is obtained from  $\bar{C}$  by removing edges, faces, or part of the interior of faces. In the first two cases a C-isotonic homeomorphism  $f$  is described by Theorem 3, i.e., it has one of the following forms:

- 1) it is quasiaffine if  $N_1, N_2, N_3$  are not horocycles;
- 2)  $f_0 \circ d_{E_1 L_1} \circ d_{E_2 L_2} \circ d_{E_3 L_3}$  if only one of the q-lines  $N_i$  is not a horocycle;

3)  $f_0 \circ d_{E_1 L_1 L_2} \circ d_{E_2 L_2 L_1} \circ d_{E_3 L_3}$ , if  $N_1, N_2$  are not horocycles and  $N_3$  is a horocycle. Here  $f_0$  is a quasilinear transformation.

If part of the interior of one face is removed, then

4)  $f$  is quasilinear, if  $N_1, N_2$  are not horocycles,  $N_3$  is a horocycle, and part of a face of  $L_1 \times L_2$  or  $L_2 \times L_3$  is removed;

5)  $f$  is  $f_0 \circ d_{E_3 L_3}$  if  $N_1, N_2$  are not horocycles,  $N_3$  is a horocycle, and part of a face of  $L_1 \times L_2$  is removed;

6)  $f$  is  $f_0 \circ d_{E_1 L_1}$ , if  $N_1$  is not a horocycle and  $N_2, N_3$  are horocycles, and part of a face of  $L_2 \times L_3$  is removed;

7)  $f$  is  $f_0 \circ d_{E_2 L_2}$  if  $N_1$  is not a horocycle,  $N_2, N_3$  are horocycles, and part of a face of  $L_1 \times L_3$  is removed;

8) if part of the interior of two faces is removed, then  $f$  is quasilinear.

Assertions 4-8 are trivial, since when part of the interior of a face is removed, the rest is a q-cone, for which  $f$  will preserve a generator of the boundary. Consequently, in the face, in addition to edges there appears another q-line preserved by  $f$ . It remains to apply Lemma 1 or its Euclidean analog.

(5.3). The cases of dimension 2 and 3 considered above suggest what will happen in  $n$ -dimensional space. Since  $\bar{C} = L \times K$  any  $\bar{C}$ -isotonic homeomorphism, being  $\bar{C}$ -isotonic, can be described by Theorems 3 and 4. Considering that  $f$  is a  $\bar{C}$ -isotonic homeomorphism, we arrive at the following inference: the displacements in (12)-(14), (17)-(21) cannot be arbitrary but only quasilinear, for the reasons indicated at the end of point (5.2).

Here the form (12)-(14), (17)-(21) of the map  $f$  is preserved if  $C$  is gotten from  $\bar{C}$  by removing entire edges or faces of a face, etc. When one removes only part of the interior of a face (part of the interior of a face of some face of higher dimension), in the corresponding formulas for  $f$  the displacements will reduce to quasilinear transformations. If one removes part of the interior of faces lying in horospheres, then this assertion follows from Theorem 6 of [1]. In removing part of the interior of faces not lying in horospheres, there may appear a family of preserved q-lines  $\{N_i: x \in L^n\}$  in addition to the q-lines  $L_1, \dots, L_k$ , such that  $N$  is not a horocycle. Consequently, if among  $N_1, \dots, N_k$  there were only two which are not horocycles,  $N_1, N_2$ , then one gets three. Then by Lemma 1  $f$  will be q-affine on  $N_1, N_2$  and in (12), (18), displacements of the second kind disappear. If only the q-line  $N_1$  was not a horocycle, and the rest  $N_2, \dots, N_k$  are horocycles, then in the preserved q-plane  $\sigma$  spanned by  $N_1, N$  there will be three families of preserved q-lines:  $\{N_{1X}\}$ ,  $\{N_X\}$  and  $\{\sigma_X \cap E_{1X}\}$ . In other words,  $f$  is q-affine on  $\sigma$ , i.e., on  $N_1$ . Hence in (14), (17) the displacement  $d_{E_1 L_1}$  disappears, but here displacements of the second kind do not appear, as one could think, looking at the appearance of the two preserved q-lines  $N_1, N$  which are not horocycles.

The concrete form of the  $\bar{C}$ -isotonic homeomorphism  $f$  can be determined from the precise description of how  $C$  is obtained from  $\bar{C}$ .

## 6. Contingency Theorem

Let us assume that the invariant order  $P$  in  $L^n$ ,  $n \geq 2$  is a set satisfying the following condition:

A. There exists a neighborhood of the point  $e$ , such that in it, the intersection  $\bar{P} \cap \bar{P}^-$  does not contain points other than  $e$ , where  $\bar{P}^- = \{x \in L^n: x \leq e\}$ .

We show that a  $P$ -isotonic homeomorphism is necessarily  $\bar{C}$ -isotonic,  $\bar{C}$  being an order defined by a quasicone.

(6.1). By a quasicontingency (q-contingency) of the set  $M \subset L^n$  at the point  $a$  we mean the q-cone formed by all limits of q-rays issuing from  $a$  and passing through  $x \in M$ ,  $x \neq a$ , as  $x \rightarrow a$ . We denote the quasicontingency by  $qc(M, a)$ . If the point  $a$  is not a limit for  $M$ , then by definition we shall consider that  $qc(M, a) = \{a\}$ . It is easy to verify that a q-contingency is a closed q-cone and  $qc(M, a) = qc(\bar{M}, a)$ .

Suppose given an order  $P$  on  $L^n$ . By a directed curve issuing from the point  $x$  we mean the image of the half-axis  $[0, +\infty) \subset \mathbb{R}$  under a continuous and monotonic map of it into  $L^n$  under which  $0$  is mapped into  $x$ . Obviously any directed curve issuing from  $x$  is contained in  $P_x$ .

**THEOREM 5.** Let  $P$  define an order in  $L^n$  and  $C = qc(P, e)$ . Then

1)  $C \subset \bar{P}$  and  $C$  is a closed  $q$ -convex  $q$ -cone;

2) if  $P$  is a closed set and  $P$  satisfies condition A, then the boundary  $\partial C$  does not contain  $q$ -lines and  $C$  coincides with the union  $F$  of all directed curves issuing from the point  $e$ .

**Proof.** By a  $q$ -ray of the  $q$ -contingency  $C$  we shall mean a  $q$ -ray issuing from  $e$  and contained in  $C$ . The case  $e \notin \bar{P} \setminus \{e\}$  is trivial. We assume further that  $e$  is a limit point for  $P$ . The proof given below becomes transparent if one uses the Poincaré model.

1. Let  $L$  be a  $q$ -ray of the  $q$ -contingency  $C$ . There exist  $q$ -rays  $L_n = l^+(e, x_n)$ ,  $x_n \in P$ , issuing from  $e$ , passing through  $x_n$  such that  $L = \lim L_n$  as  $x_n \rightarrow e$ . Along with the point  $x_n$  the  $q$ -ray  $L_n$  contains all points of the form  $\underbrace{x_{nk} = t_n \circ \dots \circ t_k(e)}_k$ , where  $t_n \in T$  is a motion

carrying  $e$  to  $x_n$ . As  $x_n \rightarrow e$  the points  $x_{nk}$  condense on the  $q$ -rays  $L_n$  and their limits form the  $q$ -ray  $L$ . But all  $x_{nk} \in P$  and consequently,  $L \subset \bar{P}$ . Hence  $C \subset \bar{P}$ . As was said above,  $C$  is trivially a closed set. We prove its  $q$ -convexity. Let  $L_1, L_2$  be two  $q$ -rays from  $C$ . By what has been proved,  $L_1, L_2 \subset \bar{P}$ . Since  $\bar{P}$  defines the order in  $L^n$  one has  $L_{1x} \subset \bar{P}$  for any point  $x \in L_2$ . The set

$$\bigcup_{x \in L_2} L_{1x} \subset \bar{P},$$

as is easily seen in the Poincaré model, will contain the  $q$ -segment  $[x_1, x_2]$  for any two points  $x_1 \in L_1$  and  $x_2 \in L_2$ . By the arbitrariness of the  $q$ -rays  $L_1, L_2$  and the points  $x_1, x_2$  we see that the set  $C$  is  $q$ -convex.

2. Let  $P$  be closed and satisfy condition A. If  $\partial C$  contained a  $q$ -line, then in view of the  $q$ -convexity and closedness of  $C$ ,  $\partial C \cap \partial C^-$  would also contain a  $q$ -line. But  $\partial C \subset P$  and  $\partial C^- \subset P^-$ . Consequently,  $P \cap P^-$  would contain a  $q$ -line. The latter contradicts condition A. Thus,  $\partial C$  does not contain a  $q$ -line, so  $C$  has a strictly supporting  $q$ -plane at the point  $e$  (i.e.,  $|C|$  in the Poincaré model has a strictly supporting Euclidean plane in the intersection with  $\{x_1 > 0\}$ ).

Now we show that  $F \subset C$ . Let us assume the contrary, i.e., that there exists a point  $a \in F$ , but  $a \notin C$ . Let  $L$  be an arc of a directed curve issuing from  $e$  and passing through  $a$ . One can include the quasicone  $C$  in a  $q$ -cone  $K$  with vertex  $e$ , which is a closed  $q$ -convex  $q$ -cone with boundary  $\partial K$ , containing no  $q$ -lines. In addition  $a \notin K$ . We take at the point  $e$  a strictly supporting  $q$ -plane  $Q$ , separating  $K$  from the point  $a$ . Since  $C \setminus \{e\}$  lies inside  $K$ , it follows from the definition of  $q$ -contingency that there exists a neighborhood  $U$  of the point  $e$  for which  $P \cap U \subset K$ . Hence some initial segment of the arc  $L$  is contained in  $K$ . From this we conclude that  $L$  intersects  $Q$ . Let  $b$  be the last point (in the sense of the order on  $L$ ) of the arc  $L$  at which  $L$  intersects  $Q$ . Let  $L'$  be the part of  $L$  included between  $b$  and  $a$ . Obviously  $L' \subset P_b$ . Since  $P_b \cap U_b \subset K_b$ , some initial segment of the arc  $L'$  is contained in  $K_b$ . The quasilane  $Q$  will be strictly supporting for  $K_b$  because  $b \in Q$ , and under movement  $e \rightarrow b$  by motions from the group  $T$ ,  $Q$  goes to  $Q$ . Hence, the arc  $L'$  on the initial segment will be separated from the point  $a$ , and consequently the arc  $L'$  intersects  $Q$  in a point different from  $b$ . The latter contradicts the condition according to which this point  $b$  was chosen.

Thus,  $F \subset C$ . Since any  $q$ -ray from  $C$  is a directed curve, one has  $C \subset F$ . Thus,  $C = F$ . Theorem 5 is proved.

(6.2). **THEOREM 6.** Let  $f: L^n \rightarrow L^n$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , be an isotonic homeomorphic map. Then

1) for any  $x \in L^n$  we have  $f(\bar{P}_x) = \bar{P}_{f(x)}$ ;

2) if  $P$  satisfies condition A, then  $f(C_x) = C_{f(x)}$ , where  $C$  is the quasicontingency of the set  $\bar{P}$  at the point  $e$ , i.e.,  $C = qc(P, e)$ .

Proof. Assertion 1 is obvious. According to Theorem 5 the quasicontingency  $C$  coincides with the union  $\bar{F}$  of all directed curves in the order defined by the set  $\bar{P}$ . Since  $f(\bar{P}_x) = \bar{P}_f(x)$  and  $f$  is a homeomorphism, it associates with a directed curve (in the order  $\bar{P}$ ) another such curve. Consequently,  $f(\bar{F}_x) = \bar{F}_f(x)$ . But  $C_x = \bar{F}_x$ . Hence  $f(C_x) = C_f(x)$ . Theorem 6 is proved.

(6.3). A direct example of how to use Theorems 5 and 6 is the following

THEOREM 7. If  $P$  is an order of  $L^n$ ,  $n \geq 3$ , and satisfies condition A, and the quasicontingency  $qc(P, e) \neq L \times K$ ,  $\text{int } qc(P, e) \neq \emptyset$ , then any isotonic homeomorphic map  $f$  is quasilinear.

Proof. According to Theorem 6,  $f(C_x) = C_f(x)$ , where  $C = qc(P, e)$ , for any point  $x \in L^n$ . By Theorem 5,  $C$  does not contain  $q$ -lines,  $C \neq L \times K$ , so by Theorem 1  $f$  is quasilinear. Theorem 7 is proved.

(6.4). Remark. As follows from [3], the similarity in the description of isotonic homeomorphisms in Euclidean and hyperbolic spaces is due to a common property of the Lie algebras of an Abelian group and the group of motions  $T$ , considered in the paper. Hence, the results of the paper and also the results of A. D. Aleksandrov [1] can be recounted in the single language of the theory of ordered Lie groups.

#### LITERATURE CITED

1. A. D. Aleksandrov, "Mapping ordered spaces. I." Tr. MI AN SSSR im. V. A. Steklova, 128, 3-21 (1972).
2. A. K. Guts, "Maps of an ordered Lobachevskii space," Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, 215, No. 1, 35-37 (1974).
3. K. Hofmann and J. Lawson, "The local theory of semigroups in nilpotent Lie groups," Semigroup Forum, 23, 343-357 (1981).

#### HARDY-LITTLEWOOD THEOREM IN DOMAINS WITH QUASICONFORMAL BOUNDARY AND ITS APPLICATIONS TO HARMONIC FUNCTIONS

M. Z. Dveirin

UDC 517.53

In the theory of functions of a complex variable the theorem of Hardy-Littlewood (cf., e.g., [1, p. 74]) on the connection between the smoothness of a function, analytic in the unit disc, and the growth of the modulus of its derivative upon approximating the boundary of the disc and also the theorem of Privalov [2] on the smoothness of conjugate harmonic functions in the disc are well known. These assertions have been generalized by a number of mathematicians [1, 3-6]. In particular, the Hardy-Littlewood and Privalov theorems have been extended to domains of the complex plane other than the disc. The latest results in this direction are due to Johnston [7], who found the analog of the Hardy-Littlewood theorem for domains with locally Lipschitz boundary, and V. A. Borodin [8], who extended Privalov's theorem to domains with piecewise-smooth boundary without null corners. In the present paper analogs of the theorems cited above are found for domains with quasiconformal boundary. With their help we prove a theorem on the rate of approximation of harmonic functions by harmonic polynomials. This question was also investigated previously; theorems on the rate of approximation of harmonic functions are due to Walsh, Sewell, and Elliott [9] (the boundary of the domain is an analytic curve), V. K. Dzyadyk [10] (smooth boundary), and V. A. Borodin [8] (piecewise-smooth boundary).

We introduce the notation and definitions needed. Let  $G$  be a simply connected finite domain with Jordan boundary  $L$  and complement  $\Omega$ ;  $w = \varphi(z)$  be a function which maps the domain  $G$  conformally and univalently onto  $K_1 = \{w: |w| < 1\}$ , where the inverse function  $z = \psi(w)$  is normalized by the conditions  $\psi(0) = a$ ,  $a \in G$ ,  $\psi'(0) > 0$ ;  $l_r = \{z: |\varphi(z)| = r\}$ ,  $r \in (0; 1)$ , is the  $r$ -th level line of the function  $\varphi$ ; the function  $w = \phi(z)$  maps the exterior of the

---

Donetsk. Translated from Sibirskii Matematicheskii Zhurnal, Vol. 27, No. 3, pp. 68-73, May-June, 1986. Original article submitted July 11, 1983.